



Anthony Cialella
<cialella@castleenviro
nmental.net>

01/17/2003 01:00 PM

To: Elwood Forsht/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
cc:
Subject: RE: Loose ends, etc. -- Additional question

Woody the majority of the samples we sent out for analysis were co-mingled. However, we have also performed analysis on raw samples of the production brine too. I will check on those companies listed on your email and let you know exactly what they were.

-----Original Message-----

From: Forsht.Elwood@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Forsht.Elwood@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2003 10:23 AM
To: cialella@castleenvironmental.net
Subject: Loose ends, etc. -- Additional question

Anthony --

Sorry for my oversight: For items 6, 7, 8, & 9, for the test samples sent to US Filter, ChemProTech, GEBetz, and Nalco, please include comments on how representative each of the test samples are compared to the range of barium-related waste streams treated at Castle. Did you send samples with the highest barium raw waste concentrations, or were the test samples "commingled" to reflect the typical (average/equalized) barium concentrations, or what?

Thanks,

Woody

----- Forwarded by Elwood Forsht/DC/USEPA/US on 01/17/2003 10:12 AM

Elwood Forsht
To:
cialella@castleenvironmental.net
01/16/2003 02:16
Forsht/DC/USEPA/US) cc: (bcc: Elwood
PM Subject: Loose ends,
etc.

Anthony B

If possible, I = d like to tie up all the loose ends related to your company-related information in our files. This would improve the Public Record related to your issues. The Record must logically support any proposed modifications or changes to the existing CWT guidelines. The record goes into the Water Docket and is available for inspection by any interested party. The record must provide all the information considered by the Agency and must include all of the correspondence, data, assumptions, analyses, conclusions, and alternatives considered. Our legal requirement, under the rules of Appellate procedures, is to create